



UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume I

**Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto**

2012-2013

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*

STORAGE

**KE
538.5
.R64
2012
v.1
c.1**





UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume I

**Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto**

2012-2013

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

FAMILY LAW
TABLE OF CONTENTS
Volume I

Page No.

I. INTRODUCTION: THE FAMILY AND FAMILY LAW

A. The Family: Definitions and Demographics

| | |
|--|----|
| Excerpts from Statutes and International Documents | 1 |
| Margrit Eichler, "Beyond the Monolithic Bias in Family Literature," <i>Families in Canada Today</i> (Toronto: Gage, 1983) at 3 | 2 |
| Vanier Institute of the Family, "Definitions of Family," <i>Profiling Canada's Families</i> (1994) at 10-11 | 5 |
| Vanier Institute of the Family, <i>Profiling Canada's Families IV</i> (2010) at xi-xiii, 2-3, 26-27, 40-41, 44-45, 62-63, 72-73, 78-81 [extracts on changing family structure] | 6 |
| Vanier Institute of the Family, "Greater Ethnic and Racial Diversity," <i>Profiling Canada's Families IV</i> (2010) at 14 | 15 |
| "Vanier Institute of the Family, "Family Violence," <i>Profiling Canada's Families IV</i> (2010) at 164..... | 16 |
| Krista Foss, "Men as likely to face abuse from partner, Statscan says," <i>The Globe and Mail</i> , 27 June 2002 | 16 |

B. Recent Challenges to the Family

| | |
|---|----|
| <i>Canada (Attorney General) v. Mossop</i> , [1993] 1 S.C.R. 554..... | 18 |
| <i>Egan v. Canada</i> , [1995] 2 S.C.R. 513 | 25 |

C. Legal Regulation of the Family

| | |
|--|----|
| <i>Balfour v. Balfour</i> , [1919] 2 K.B. 571 (C.A.)..... | 28 |
| F. Olsen, "The Family and The Market: A Study of Ideology and Legal Reform" (1983), <i>Harvard L.Rev.</i> 1497..... | 29 |
| John Eekelaar, <i>Family Law and Social Policy</i> , 2d ed. (London: Weidenfeld and Nicolson, 1984) at 15-26..... | 31 |
| Mary Ann Glendon, <i>Abortion and Divorce in Western Law</i> (Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1987) at 7-9 [extract on the educative purpose of law] | 36 |

II. MARRIAGE AND NULLITY

A. The Significance of Marriage

(a) The Social Context of Marriage

| | |
|---|----|
| Vanier Institute of the Family, <i>Profiling Canada's Families IV</i> (2010) at 32-41 [extracts on marriage and conjugal unions]..... | 38 |
|---|----|

(b) The Shifting Legal Significance of Marriage

| | |
|---|----|
| Sir William Blackstone, <i>Commentaries on the Laws of England</i> | 46 |
| William Cobbett, <i>Advice to Young Men and (incidentally) to Young Women</i> (1837)..... | 46 |
| Law Reform Commission of Canada, <i>Bigamy</i> (1985) at 3 | 46 |

| | |
|---|----|
| <i>Hyde v. Hyde</i> (1886), 1 L.R. (P & D) 130 | 47 |
| <i>Graham v. Graham</i> , 33 F. Supp. 936 (1940)..... | 47 |
| Shelagh Day, “The Charter and Family Law” in Elisabeth Sloss, ed., <i>Family Law in Canada: New Directions</i> (Ottawa: Canadian Advisory Council on the Status of Women, 1985) 27 at 28-30. | 49 |
| Mary Ann Glendon, “The New Marriage and the New Property” in J. Eekelaar and S. Katz, eds., <i>Marriage and Cohabitation in Contemporary Societies</i> (Toronto: Butterworths, 1978) at 59-70 | 50 |

B. Unmarried Cohabitation

| | |
|---|-----|
| Note: Terminology..... | 57 |
| Statistics Canada, “Family Portrait ... 2006” | 58 |
| “Then They Won’t Get Married” Siri Argell, <i>Globe and Mail</i> , Sept. 13, 2007..... | 62 |
| “The New Traditionalists” Leah McLaren, <i>Globe and Mail</i> , June 14, 2003 | 63 |
| Anne-Marie Ambert, “Cohabitation and Marriage: How are they Related?” (Vanier Institute of the Family, Sept. 2005)..... | 67 |
| W. Holland, “Cohabitation and Marriage—A Meeting at the Crossroads?” (1990-1991) 7 <i>C.F.L.Q.</i> 33 | 73 |
| <i>Miron v. Trudel</i> [1995] 2 S.C.R. 418; 124 D.L.R. (4 th) 693 | 76 |
| <i>Molodowich v. Penttinen</i> (1980), 17 R.F.L. (2d) 376 (Ont. Dist. Ct.) | 80 |
| Note: <i>Macmillan-Dekker v. Dekker</i> (2000), 10 R.F.L. (5 th) 352 (Ont. S.C.J.) | 84 |
| Note: <i>Campbell v. Szoke</i> (2003), 45 R.F.L. (5 th) 261 (Ont. S.C.J.) | 85 |
| “Ontario’s Divisional Court to rule on whether mistress might win spousal support”, <i>Lawyers Weekly</i> , Nov. 1, 2002 | 85 |
| Note: <i>Stephen v. Stawecki</i> (2006), 32 R.F.L. (6 th) 282 (Ont. C.A.) | 87 |
| <i>M. v. H.</i> , [1999] 2 S.C.R. 3 | 88 |
| Note: Ontario Law Reform Commission, <i>Report on the Rights and Responsibilities of Cohabitants under the Family Law Act</i> (1993)..... | 103 |
| Note: The Legislative Response to <i>M. v. H.</i> | 104 |
| <i>Nova Scotia (Attorney General) v. Walsh</i> , [2002] 2 S.C.R. 325 | 105 |
| Note: Legal Recognition of Unmarried Couples in Quebec..... | 121 |
| “No support for common-law spouses discriminatory: QCA”, <i>Lawyers Weekly</i> , November 26, 2020..... | 122 |
| Note: Legal Recognition and Regulation of Non-Conjugal Personal Relationships..... | 123 |
| “Single Judge Seeks Benefits of Couples”, <i>National Post</i> , Sept. 22, 2004..... | 123 |
| “Challenging Couple-ism”, Editorial, <i>National Post</i> , Sept. 23, 2004 | 124 |
| Note: Australia’s <i>Domestic Relationships Act</i> | 125 |
| Note: Alberta’s <i>Adult Interdependent Relationships Act</i> (2003)..... | 126 |
| Law Commission of Canada, <i>Beyond Conjuality: Recognizing and Supporting Close Personal Adult Relationships</i> (2001) at xi-xix | 129 |

C. Validity of Marriage

(a) Overview

| | |
|---|-----|
| H. R. Hahlo, <i>Nullity of Marriage in Canada</i> (1979) at 1-5 | 133 |
| Berend Hovius, Note on Validity of Marriage, | 133 |
| Peter Hogg, <i>Constitutional Law of Canada</i> (1985) at 533-534 | 135 |

(b) Opposite Sexes

Note: Same Sex Marriage and the Alternate Legal Mechanisms for Recognition

| | |
|---|-----|
| of Same Sex Relationships | 136 |
| <i>Halpern v. Canada (A.G.)</i> (2003), 225 D.L.R.(4 th) 529 (Ont. C.A.) | 138 |
| Note: The Same-Sex Marriage Reference | 149 |
| <i>Reference re Same-Sex Marriage</i> , [2004] 3 S.C.R. 698 [extract on rights of religious officials] | 150 |
| Note: The <i>Civil Marriage Act</i> (2005) | 150 |
| Note: Same Sex Marriage and Religious Freedom | 151 |
| Dan Shoudice, "Refusal to Perform Same-Sex Marriage Costs Sask. Marriage Commissioner \$2500" (Centre for Constitutional Studies, July 27, 2009) | 152 |
| <i>Saskatchewan (Marriage Act, Marriage Commissioners) (Re)</i> , 2011 SKCA 3 | 153 |
| Note: Foreign Recognition of Canadian Same Sex Marriages | 161 |
| "Couple test British court on gay marriage," <i>Globe and Mail</i> , Aug. 17, 2005 | 161 |
| "Gay marriage gone wrong creates Catch-22" <i>Toronto Star</i> , Sept. 23, 2009 | 162 |
| Note: Same-Sex Marriage Developments in the United States | 163 |
| (c) Capacity to Consummate | |
| <i>Gajamugan v. Gajamugan</i> (1979), 10 R.F.L. (2d) 280 (Ont. S.C.) | 166 |
| <i>Dashevsky v. Dashevsky</i> (1973), 13 R.F.L. 1 (Ont. S.C.) | 167 |
| <i>Norman v. Norman</i> (1979), 9 R.F.L. (2d) 235 (Ont. U.F.C.) | 170 |
| "Judge refuses to annul unconsummated marriage" (re <i>Falk v. Falk</i>), <i>Lawyers Weekly</i> , Oct. 1, 1999 | 171 |
| Note: <i>L. (K.H.) v. L. (G.Q.)</i> (2003), 231 D.L.R. (4 th) 677 (B.C.C.A.) | 172 |
| (d) Monogamy | |
| Law Reform Commission of Canada, <i>Bigamy</i> (1985) at 3 | 188 |
| Note: Bigamous and Polygamous Relationships | 189 |
| <i>Criminal Code</i> , ss. 290, 291, 293 | 174 |
| Note: Treatment of Polygamous Marriage in Report of Australia Law Reform Commission, <i>Multiculturalism and the Law</i> (1992) | 175 |
| "Polygamous spouses covered under B.C. family legislation, judge holds" <i>Lawyer's Weekly</i> , 1 November 1991 | 177 |
| Note: The B.C. <i>Polygamy Reference</i> | 178 |
| "B.C. Supreme Court opens debate on polygamy," <i>Vancouver Sun</i> , Nov 20, 2010 | 178 |
| "Ban polygamy now or never" <i>Vancouver Sun</i> , Mar 30, 2011 | 181 |
| "Few disagree that polygamy can cause harm," <i>Vancouver Sun</i> , April 1, 2011 | 182 |
| "If Canada's polygamy law is struck, the next step is up to Parliament," <i>Vancouver Sun</i> , April 4, 2011 | 183 |
| "Future of Canada's polygamy law rests in hands of chief justice," <i>Vancouver Sun</i> , April 16, 2011 | 184 |
| Elizabeth Abbot, "Big Love or Big Lie?," <i>National Post</i> , June 7, 2011 | 184 |
| Tom Flanagan, "The Biological and Philosophical Reasons why we should Prosecute Polygamy," <i>Globe and Mail</i> , April 24, 2008 | 187 |
| Susan Drummond, "A Marriage of Fear and Xenophobia: Our Criminalization of Polygamy isn't about Protecting Women", <i>Globe and Mail</i> , April 6, 2009 | 188 |
| (e) Age Requirements for a Valid Marriage | |
| Note | 190 |
| (f) Prohibited Degrees of Consanguinity and Affinity | |
| Note | 190 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| “Incest: an age-old taboo”, BBC News, March 12, 2007 | 192 |
| “Court annuls marriage between twins separated at birth”, <i>The Guardian</i> , Jan. 12, 2008 | 193 |
| (g) Consent as a Requirement for a Valid Marriage | 183 |
| Note..... | 194 |
| (i) Duress | |
| Note: <i>Scott v. Sebright</i> (1886), 12 P.D. 21; <i>Cooper v. Crane</i> , [1891] P. 369; <i>Buckland v. Buckland</i> , [1967] 2 All E.R. 300 | 194 |
| <i>S.(A.) v. S.(A.)</i> (1988), 15 R.F.L. (3d) 433 (Ont. U.F.C.) | 196 |
| Note on Arranged Marriages: <i>Parihar v. Bhattie</i> (1980), 17 R.F.L. (2d) 289; <i>Singh</i> <i>v. Singh</i> (unreported, Ont. U. Fam. Ct., 13 June 1980) | 200 |
| Bruce Ziff, “Recent Developments in Marriage and Divorce” (1986) 18 <i>Ottawa</i> <i>Law Review</i> 121 at 133-134 [extract on arranged marriages] | 201 |
| Note: The U.K. <i>Forced Marriage Act</i> (2007)..... | 201 |
| (ii) Limited Purpose Marriage (Immigration Marriages) | |
| <i>Asser v. Permohamed</i> (1984), 40 R.F.L. (2d) 299 (Ont. H.C.) | 202 |
| Note: <i>Singla v. Singla</i> (1985), 46 R.F.L. (2d) 235 (N.S.S.C.)..... | 204 |
| Note: <i>Salimi v. Torfehnejad</i> (2006), 32 R.F.L (6 th) 115 (Ont. S.C.J.)..... | 205 |
| “Fraud squads chase down marriages of convenience” <i>Globe and Mail</i> , May 28, 2008..... | 206 |
| “Wife jailed for sham marriage” CBC News, Oct. 1, 2004..... | 207 |
| “Spouses can sue each other for tortuous deceit”, Cristin Schmitz, <i>Lawyers</i> <i>Weekly</i> , April 7, 2006 | 208 |

III. DIVORCE

A. The Social Context of Divorce

| | |
|--|-----|
| Vanier Institute of the Family, <i>Profiling Canada's Families IV</i> (2010) at 44-47, 50-51, 64-66 [extracts on divorce and remarriage]..... | 210 |
| Ann Marie Ambert, “Divorce: Facts, Figures, and Consequences” (Vanier Institute of the Family, revised 2009)..... | 216 |
| Julien D. Payne, “The Dichotomy between Family Law and Family Crises on Marriage Breakdown” (1989), 20 <i>R.G.D.</i> 109..... | 231 |

B. Reform of Divorce Law

(a) The Movement to No-Fault Divorce

| | |
|---|-----|
| H. R. Hahlo, “The Changing Face of Marriage and Divorce” in R.S. Abella and C. L’Heureux-Dubé, eds., <i>Family Law: Dimensions of Justice</i> (1983) | 233 |
| Note on history of divorce legislation in Canada | 236 |
| Dept. Of Justice, <i>Evaluation of the Divorce Act, Phase II: Monitoring and</i> <i>Evaluation</i> (May, 1990) at 1-9..... | 236 |

(b) Critiques of No-Fault Divorce

| | |
|--|-----|
| Lenore J. Weitzman, <i>The Divorce Revolution</i> (1985) at 362 | 240 |
| Marygold Melli, “Constructing a Social Problem: The Post-Divorce Plight of Women and Children” [1986] <i>American Bar Foundation Research Journal</i> | |

| | |
|--|-----|
| 759 at 770-771 | 243 |
| Note: Other Critiques of No-Fault Divorce | 244 |
| “Putting the ‘fault’ back in no-fault divorce” <i>Globe and Mail</i> , 15 March 1988 | 246 |
| “Spousal misconduct returns to divorce?” <i>Lawyer’s Weekly</i> , 25 March 1988 | 247 |
| Note: <i>Valenti v. Valenti</i> (1996), 21 R.F.L. (4th) 246 (Ont. Gen. Div.) | 249 |
| “Victim is sued for support”, <i>Toronto Star</i> , Jan. 23, 2001 | 250 |

C. Obtaining a Divorce under the *Divorce Act*, 1985

(a) Grounds for Divorce

(i) Living Separate and Apart

| | |
|--|-----|
| <i>Rushton v. Rushton</i> (1968), 66 W.W.R. 764, 2 D.L.R. (3d) 25 (B.C.S.C.) | 251 |
| <i>Dupere v. Dupere</i> (1974), 19 R.F.L. 270, 9 N.B.R. (2d) 554 (Q.B.) | 251 |
| <i>Calvert v. Calvert</i> (1997), 32 O.R. 281 (Ont. Gen. Div.) | 253 |
| Note: Relevance of Date of Separation for Property Division | 254 |
| Note: Alternative to Separation as a “No-Fault” Ground for Divorce | 254 |

(ii) Adultery

| | |
|---|-----|
| “Wisconsin woman to stand trial on rarely used adultery charge” <i>The Globe and Mail</i> , 1 May 1990 | 255 |
| Mary Ann Glendon, <i>The Transformation of Family Law</i> (1989) at 286-287 | 256 |
| Note: Social Acceptance of Adultery | 257 |
| Note: Definition of Adultery | 257 |
| <i>P. (S.E.) v. P. (D.D.)</i> (2005), 259 D.L.R. (4 th) 358 (B.C.S.C.) | 257 |
| “Wife wants divorce for virtual adultery”, Ananova, 2005 | 263 |
| Note: Proof of Adultery | 263 |
| <i>Burbage v. Burbage</i> (1985), 46 R.F.L. (2d) 33 (Ont. H.C.J.) at 36-38 | 263 |
| Note: <i>d’Entremont v. d’Entremont</i> (1992), 44 R.F.L. (3d) 224 (N.S.C.A.) | 264 |

(iii) Cruelty

| | |
|---|-----|
| Note : <i>Chouinard v. Chouinard</i> (1969), 10 D.L.R. (3d) 263 (N.B.C.A.) | 264 |
| <i>Austin v. Austin</i> (1970), 2 R.F.L. 136, 73 W.W.R. 289, (Sask. C.A.) | 265 |
| <i>Gilbert v. Gilbert</i> (1980), 18 R.F.L. (2d) 240, 39 N.S.R. (2d) 241 (T.D.) | 266 |
| <i>B.(Y.) v. B.(J.)</i> (1989), 20 R.F.L. (3d) 154 (Alta. Q.B.) | 269 |
| <i>J.G.S. v. W.O.J.S.</i> , 2004 BCSC 749 | 271 |

(b) Duties and Bars to Proceedings

(i) Condonation, Connivance, Collusion

| | |
|---|-----|
| Introductory Note | 272 |
| Christine Davies, <i>Family Law in Canada</i> (1986) at 417-437 | 273 |
| Note: <i>Guglielmo v. Mikhail</i> (Que, S.C., March 1991) | 276 |

(ii) Inadequate Provision for Child Support

| | |
|------------|-----|
| Note | 277 |
|------------|-----|

(iii) Religious Bars

| | |
|---|-----|
| “Family Lawyers Get Change” <i>Law Times</i> , 1990 | 277 |
| <i>Bruker v. Marcovitz</i> , [2007] 3 S.C.R. 607 | 278 |

